



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/625,580	07/23/2003	Daniel Kenneth Lunecki	CYGL-26,370	7734
25883	7590	11/13/2008		
HOWISON & ARNOTT, L.L.P. P.O. BOX 741715 DALLAS, TX 75374-1715			EXAMINER HUYNH, KIM T	
			ART UNIT 2111	PAPER NUMBER
			NOTIFICATION DATE 11/13/2008	DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patents@dalpat.com

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/625,580	Applicant(s) LUNECKI ET AL.	
	Examiner KIM T. HUYNH	Art Unit 2111	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) KIM T. HUYNH, USPTO. (3) Cliff Knoll, USPTO.

(2) Gregory Mowison, Reg.#30,646. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 30 October 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: an interview agenda fax received on 10/17/08.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 16 and 25.

Identification of prior art discussed: Bacon, Nilson, Nolan.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: As per claim 1 Applicant is persuasive in arguing Nolan is not applicable as applied in the previous Office Action. As per claims 16, 25, 30 arguments, when formally presented, will be fully considered.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Clifford H Knoll/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2111	
---	--